

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,807	08/03/2001	Danny De Vleesschauwer	Q65202	7148
7590 09/01/2005			EXAMINER	
	IION, ZINN, MACPI nia Avenue, N.W.	PATEL	РАТЕL, АЛТ	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2664		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Ex parte Quayle

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/920,807	VLEESSCHAUWER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AJIT G. PATEL	2664		

THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after prosecution was closed on the merits in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the *Ex parte Quayle*.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the *Ex parte Quayle* Office action.

AM	ΕN	DMI	ENTS

2. The proposed amendment(s) filed after Ex Parte Quayle, will not be entered because
(a) 🔯 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo
Note: The amendment changed the scope of the claims which require further consideration and/or search.
3. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324
OTHER 5. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. Other:
Ajit Patel Primary Examiner

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --